



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/652,138

08/29/2003

Koichiro Tanaka

8375-006/DVA

1171

27572 7590 11/14/2007
HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.
P.O. BOX 828
BLOOMFIELD HILLS, MI 48303

EXAMINER

FAY, ZOHREH A

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1618

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/14/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/652,138	TANAKA, KOICHIRO	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zohreh A. Fay	1618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 August 2007.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 23-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 23-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 23-42 are presented for examination.

The amendments and remarks filed on August 31, 2007 have been received and entered.

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on August 31, 2007 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23, 24 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viegas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,587,175). Viegas et al. teach the use of hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose in combination with active ingredients such as anti-microbial and anti-inflammatory agents in an ophthalmic formulation for protection of cornea, which can be used in the body cavity or by

Art Unit: 1618

injection. See the abstract, column 4, lines 56-60, column 6, lines 48-65 and examples of 1, 2 and 5.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 1618

Claims 25 and 27-43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Viegas et al. (U.S. Patent 5,587,175) in view of Chang (U.S. Patent 6,051,560) and further in view of Christ et al. (U.S. Patent 6,254,587).

Viegas et al. teach the use of the claimed viscoelastic agents, hyaluronic acid, chondroitin sulfate and hydroxymethyl cellulose in combination with the claimed active ingredients, such as anti-microbials and anti-inflammatory agents for protection of cornea during surgery or trauma. See the abstract, column 4, lines 56-60, column 6, lines 48-65 and examples 1, 2 and 5. The primary reference differs from the claimed invention in the use of the combination of hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulfate and also preservation against the infection of internal ocular space in a surgical operation. Chang et al. teach that the combination of hyaluronates and chondroitin sulfate has been used to protect cell layers and tissues subject to trauma during ocular surgery such as lens implantation, corneal transplantation and other intraocular surgical operations. See column 1, lines 30-35 and claims 1-14. Christ et al. teach the delivery of the viscoelastic agent into the eye during ophthalmic surgery, such as cataract, and removing the viscoelastic and aspirating the viscoelastic and tissue fragments from the eye. See column 1, lines 45-52, column 3, lines 35-44 and column 6, lines 61-67. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to combine hyaluronic acid and chondroitin sulfate and use it for protecting tissues during ophthalmic surgery, considering that Chang et al. teach the use of such combination in ophthalmic surgery as old. It would have also been obvious to use the claimed combination for preserving against ocular infection, considering that preserving against infection is an inherent

Art Unit: 1618

property of anti-microbial agents. It would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art to use the claimed viscoelastic agent for intraocular administration during cataract surgery, motivated by Christ et al., which teach the use of such components into the eye during ophthalmic surgery, such as cataract surgery as old.

One skilled in the art would have been motivated to combine the teachings of the above references, since one relates to the use of the claimed viscoelastic agents, such as hyaluronates, chondroitin sulfate and hydroxymethyl cellulose individually in combination with the claimed anti-microbial and anti-inflammatory agents for protecting cells during ophthalmic surgery and trauma, and the others relate to the use of the combination of hyaluronates and chondroitin sulfate in the intraocular surgery as old. The preservation of ocular space by the addition of anti-microbial agent is the inherent property of such agents. The removal of the majority of the composition from the intraocular site is taught by Christ et al.

Applicant has presented no evidence to establish the unexpected or unobvious nature of the claimed invention, and as such, claims 25 and 27-43 are properly rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103.

Applicant's arguments and remarks have been carefully considered, but are not deemed to be persuasive. Applicant alleges criticality to the intraocular administration of the claimed composition in comparison to the administration of prior art, which is being used for corneal protection. The allegation in view of Christ et al. reference, which teaches the intraocular administration of ophthalmic ingredients during cataract surgery as old and well known.

Art Unit: 1618

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zohreh A. Fay whose telephone number is (571) 272-0573. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Hartley can be reached on (571) 272-0616. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Z.F

/Zohreh Fay/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1618

